



United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		(			
APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,357	10/27/2000		Benjamin Pless	003-006C1	3469
32746	7590	08/19/2002			
HOEKENDIJK & LYNCH, LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 47 BURLINGA		94011-4787		PEFFLEY, N	IICHAEL F
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3739	-
	DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002		•		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/698,357	PLESS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Peffley	3739	

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

- Failu - Any r	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any identify the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2002.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
•	on of Claims				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-25,31,33,34 and 40-63</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-25,31,33,34,61 and 62</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 40-60 and 63 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 🤄	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a 15)⊠ <i>A</i>	)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachmen	t(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_

6) Other:



Art Unit: 3739

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-25, 61 and 62 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

# Related Applications

The examiner notes that there are several related applications which disclose and claim similar subject matter to the instant application. In the interest of thorough examination of the application claims, applicant is respectfully requested to provide the examiner with a complete listing of all applications containing a similar disclosure, as well as an indication of which applications contain claimed subject matter which may be specifically relevant to the pending claims of the instant application.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 40-60 and 63 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15

Application/Control Number: 09/698,357

Art Unit: 3739

of copending Application No. 09/699,215. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the use of a focused ultrasound.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Marcus et al ('484), Sherman et al ('280), Negus et al ('848) and Stasz ('281) disclose various other catheter devices which include an ultrasonic transducer used to ablate tissue. Cain et al disclose a focused ultrasound system for ablating cardiac tissue. Veazy et al ('867) and Kline Schoder et al ('580) disclose ultrasonic systems for delivering more than one ultrasonic frequency for the treatment of tissue. Neither discloses the use of two different frequencies at different times.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Peffley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4305. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6am-3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,357

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

mp August 14, 2002